TO:
Recycling Regulation Amendments
Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy
British Columbia

CleanBC – Plastics Action Plan

First of all, a lot of thanks to Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy for organising this important consultation.

This opinion represents an opinion of an individual citizen, not any legal entity.

This opinion does not contain:
– any business secrets
– any trade secrets
– any confidential information.

This opinion is public.
PDF file of this opinion can be added to a relevant web page.

Annex 1 holds information about disclaimers and copyright.

Best Regards,

Jukka S. Rannila
citizen of Finland
signed electronically

[Continues on the next page]
Previous Canadian consultation about plastic waste

There has been a Canadian consultation about plastic waste. My opinion can be accessed from the following webpage:

EN: Opinion 126: Moving Canada toward zero plastic waste
http://www.jukkarannila.fi/lausunnot.html#nro_126

Proposal: There could be some assessment based on previous consultation(s) about plastic waste.

European strategy for plastics / European Union

There is a webpage for the European strategy for plastics. Different documents can be accessed from the following webpage:

European strategy for plastics
https://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/plastic_waste.htm

Proposal: It could be possible to assess the European strategy for plastic waste.

(Note: Possibly the European strategy for plastic waste has been assessed already.)

(Note: It is possible that the European Commission may change web pages related to the European Strategy for plastic waste in the future.)

Question: What should B.C. consider in the development of a national standard on recycled content and any associated targets?

I have proposed several times organising different technical design competition(s) for developing some new technical solutions for different issues.

Proposal: There could be a technical design competition(s) at the national (Canada) level.

Proposal: Technical design competition(s) could mean assessing technical solutions for all phases of plastic material – from creation of plastic material to recycling of plastic material.

Proposal: There could be assessment of technical solutions in different countries.

One issue for assessing technical solutions is weather since in all phases (plastic material) there can be severe weather conditions.
One issue for assessing technical solutions could be usage different microchips and bar codes. Naturally there are already some microchips and bar codes used with plastic material but there could be new proposals based on technical design competition(s).

**Question:** Do you have comments or suggestions on the ministry’s proposal to allow refunds to be electronic and paid in an alternative form of cash (e-transfer, cheque, in-store credit, charitable donation, or similar alternatives)?

**Proposal:** Technical design competition(s) could assess payment methods for all phases of plastic material.

**Good luck!!!**

This opinion is quite limited. Hopefully there are other constructive ideas presented in other opinions. This remains to be seen.
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DISCLAIMERS

Legal disclaimer:
All opinions in this opinion paper are personal opinions and they do not represent opinions of any legal entity I am
member either by law or voluntarily. This opinion paper is only intended to trigger thinking and it is not legal advice.
This opinion paper does not apply to any past, current or future legal entity. This opinion paper will not cover any of the
future changes in this fast-developing area. Any actions made based on this opinion is solely responsibility of respective
actor making those actions.

Political disclaimer:
These opinions do not represent opinions of any political party. These opinions are not advices to certain policy and
they are only intended to trigger thinking. Any law proposal based on these opinions are sole responsibility of that legal
entity making law proposals.

These opinions are not meant to be extreme-right, moderate-right, extreme-centre, moderate-centre, extreme-left or
moderate-left. They are only opinions of an individual whose overall thinking might or might not contain elements of
different sources. These opinions do not reflect past, current or future political situation in the Finnish, European or
worldwide politics.

These opinions are not meant to rally for a candidacy in any public election at any level.

Content of web pages:
This text may or may not refer to web pages. The content of those web pages is not responsibility of author of this
document. They are referenced on the date of this document. If referenced web pages are not found after the date when
this document is dated, that situation is not responsibility of the author. All changes done in the web pages this
document refers are sole responsibility of those organisations and individuals maintaining those web pages. All illegal
content found on the referred web pages is not on the responsibility of the author of this document, and producing that
kind content is not endorsed by the author of this document.

Use of broken English
This text is in English, but from a person, whose is not a native English-speaking person. Therefore the text may or may
not contain bad, odd and broken English, and can contain awkward linguistic solutions.

COPYRIGHT

This opinion paper is distributed under Creative Commons licence, to be specific the licence is “Attribution-
NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)”. The text of the licence can be obtained from
the following web page:

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

The English explanation is on the following web page:

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode

Copyright, licence and disclaimers: check Annex 1.